

























Time-to-First-Spike Coding

Advantages:

- Each pixel chooses its own integration time to optimize noise and dynamic range
- No analog readout noise
- No A/D required
- · Low power and small bandwidth
- Parallels in biology (Simon Thorpe)





































































Revised Algorithm

- Rank order coding is simple and can learn with very few training patterns
- Unfortunately it's performance was poorer than conventional methods (MFCC/HMM)
- Then used Liquid State Machine (LSM), a pattern classifier for spikes



Experiment with Vowels 5 vowel classes from the TIMIT database Two types of noise White noise Pink noise Training => Two vowels for each class, one male and one female Testing => 25 vowels randomly chosen for each class, multi-speaker

Baseline classifier => 39 dimension MFCC with standard HMM

23/30

Final Results (% correct) SNR 25dB 10dB 5dB MFCC-HMM 88.0 94.0 78.5 Phaselock 93.0 92.5 91.0 Results only for pink noise, white noise is similar Promising results, particularly for low SNR cases Needs to be extended to: more phoneme classes more noise types word recognition Can we augment the feature vector? CNEL



